
FW: Matthew Blomfield

1 message

Matt Blomfield <matt.blomfield@belljr.com>

Thu, Jun 28, 2012 at 9:15 PM

To: Gareth Neil <Gareth.Neil@meredithconnell.co.nz>

Gareth

I'm not keen to make a big deal of this. I am trying not to be emotional. Putting the Court Case aside this is pretty hard for me to respond to.

These guys (Graham and Paul) are not my family I have only know them for a short time. I am one of many who works for them, jointly I would guess they are worth tens of millions they don't need me.

By way of example Paul's Datamine business www.datamine.co.nz is a very successful and well respect company with (I think) about 30-50 fulltime staff, a few with PHD's. Graham owns property up and down the country and is a very highly regard lawyer and director. I am humbled that they are prepared to put up with my baggage.

Now I'm not sure of the legalities around you referring to me as a thief or even say that my conduct amounted to theft but I'm sure you will enlighten me? In my opinion the Bell Jr guys are the best thing that has happened to me in a long time. I do feel like they are almost ready to walk away and I get the feeling from Graham, today was more about interrogating them in order to further investigate my conduct than to work on getting my Application sorted.

I will work under any logical condition imposed, I just want to get on with my life and support my family and hopefully not have these guys walk.

I have endured two years of attacks from John Price, Warren Powell and Marc Spring because of assisting another government department (which I am not able to talk about as I'm sure you have worked out). These three have also called me a fraudster, a criminal, a thief. I am not I am a guy who aimed high and fell hard because I wasn't smart enough.

Please tell me how I should respond to Paul or should I just give up and go work as digger driver.

Regards

Matt

From: Matt Blomfield [mailto:matt.blomfield@belljr.com]
Sent: Thursday, 28 June 2012 8:45 p.m.
To: 'Paul O'Connor'
Subject: RE: Matthew Blomfield

Hi Paul

I'm going to need to look into this before I respond in more detail. All I can say is that I was never had any charges of theft against me period. I had a quick read of the report from the Companies Office it does not say that I stole anything. I will get a copy to you tomorrow.

Will you be around to catch up and tell me how the meeting went (I've had Graham take and he seemed a little over it). I must say based on this email now I am a bit nervous. Again I'm sorry that you and Graham have got dragged into this.

Will call you tomorrow.

Matt

From: Paul O'Connor [mailto:paul.oconnor@belljr.com]
Sent: Thursday, 28 June 2012 7:49 p.m.
To: Matt Blomfield
Subject: Fwd: Matthew Blomfield

Matt

As you know Graham and I met with the OA and their legal advisors today. During that meeting, Gareth Neil from Meredith Connell said that the Registrar of Companies equated your "conduct with theft on a couple of occasions".

This is obviously of concern to me, so are you able too give details of the thefts that took place? Where there any charges laid against you for this theft, was it taken to court and if so what was the outcome?

Cheers

Paul

Begin forwarded message:

From: Graham Hare <graham.hare@belljr.com>
Subject: Re: Matthew Blomfield
Date: 27 June 2012 10:06:49 AM NZST
To: Kirstin Wakelin <kirstin.wakelin@meredithconnell.co.nz>
Cc: "Paul O'Connor" <paul.oconnor@belljr.com>

Ok. We will be there at Noon.

Thanks

Graham

On 27 June 2012 09:56, Kirstin Wakelin <kirstin.wakelin@meredithconnell.co.nz> wrote:

Hi Graham,

Noon on Thursday works best for us.

The Official Assignee's office is located in the ASB Tower at 135 Albert Street, Auckland CBD. Please proceed to Level 18 and at reception you will need to ask for Anthony Pullan.

Regards,

Kirstin Wakelin

Solicitor

DDI: +64 9 336 7695 | FAX: +64 9 336 7629

kirstin.wakelin@meredithconnell.co.nz

MEREDITH | CONNELL
BARRISTERS AND SOLICITORS

From: buzzhare@gmail.com [mailto:buzzhare@gmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Graham Hare

Sent: Tuesday, 26 June 2012 8:00 p.m.

To: Kirstin Wakelin

Subject: Re: Matthew Blomfield

I am, and expect Paul O'connor to be also delighted to receive this invitation.

Unfortunately I have a previously booked hospital procedure appointment at Auckland Public tomorrow which cannot be re-scheduled.

Both Paul and I are available at Noon Thursday or after 3:30pm. Which is suitable for your team?

Regards

Graham

On 26 June 2012 17:43, Kirstin Wakelin <kirstin.wakelin@meredithconnell.co.nz> wrote:

Hi Graham,

The Assignee would like to meet with you (and your fellow director if you consider it appropriate) privately to discuss issues pertaining to Mr Blomfield's application for consent to be self-employed dated 19 June 2012.

Are you available tomorrow afternoon (or alternatively on Thursday) to attend a meeting at the Assignee's office?

Regards,

Kirstin Wakelin

Solicitor

DDI: +64 9 336 7695 | FAX: +64 9 336 7629

kirstin.wakelin@meredithconnell.co.nz

MEREDITH | CONNELL
BARRISTERS AND SOLICITORS

From: buzzhare@gmail.com [mailto:buzzhare@gmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Graham Hare

Sent: Friday, 22 June 2012 2:47 p.m.

To: Kirstin Wakelin

Cc: Paul O'Connor

Subject: Re: Matthew Blomfield

Thank you Kirstin

Bell Jr is an integral part of Matt Blomfield's Application for Consent to be self employed as required by the Official Assignee. With respect, I am not advocating on behalf of Matt Blomfield or Blomfield Trust. I understand Matt lodged, through you, an application to be self-employed on 19 June 2012.

As a Director of Bell Jr Limited I suggested to you that, from Bell Jr Limited's viewpoint, the matters I raised in 1 -4 were relevant to our task of convincing you and your client to address the re-instatement of Matt's self-employment with us. We value his ability.

My final obtuse comment about the plight of the Blomfield family was not advocacy, more a throwaway reflection on their dire circumstances.

Again, thanks for your prompt clarification.

We will await your next requirements, patiently.

Regards

Graham

On 22 June 2012 12:30, Kirstin Wakelin <kirstin.wakelin@meredithconnell.co.nz> wrote:

Hi Graham,

Thank you for your email. We have been instructed to respond to it as well as your email to Anthony Pullan and David Harte of today's date at 10.59am.

The points raised in your email to Meredith Connell are noted.

The application for consent to be self-employed is a matter for Mr Blomfield to pursue, and it is not considered appropriate for you to advocate on his behalf.

Once all the supporting information is received, the Official Assignee will assess the application for consent to be self-employed as quickly as as he reasonably can, however there are many issues that require careful consideration, and time will therefore inevitably be needed to reach a fully considered decision.

The information concerning the role(s) of Ms Blatchford and the Blomfield Trust is relevant to Mr Blomfield's application, and we note that you have now provided it. For the avoidance of doubt, the Official Assignee does not agree to your request not to seek costs against the Blomfield Trust.

Regards,

Kirstin Wakelin

Solicitor

DDI: +64 9 336 7695 | FAX: +64 9 336 7629

kirstin.wakelin@meredithconnell.co.nz

MEREDITH | CONNELL
BARRISTERS AND SOLICITORS

From: buzzhare@gmail.com [mailto:buzzhare@gmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Graham Hare
Sent: Friday, 22 June 2012 9:36 a.m.
To: Kirstin Wakelin
Cc: Paul O'Connor
Subject: Matthew Blomfield

Good morning Kirstin

In response to your letter emailed to me 16:46 on 20 June 2012, I have interviewed the man you call Matt Blomfield (bankrupt). I now attach the engagement terms for the role of Junior Consultant at Bell Jr. It is for this role on these terms that we now seek urgent approval by the Official Assignee for Bell Jr Limited to engage Matt Blomfield.

Can you please expedite the consideration of this matter by your Client. There should be no impediment now for your client to consider as a matter of urgency the request for approval of Matt which has been submitted to him and address the consequential matters raised in my letter to your firm dated 19 June 2012.

During the interview with Matt he revealed the following which I regard as relevant:

1. He sent you a transcript of a txt exchange with key protagonist in the line-up of "witnesses" who have caused this fiasco, Marc Spring. If you haven't already done so, we ask that this be made available to your client. It speaks volumes for credibility.
2. Trinity Media Group Limited, Mr Spring's company faces liquidation proceedings at the behest of IRD for unpaid tax of circa \$175,000.00 (Copy Public Notice attached).
3. At the call over of proceeding CIV-2012-404-2904 in the High Court yesterday, you mentioned that you will be looking to claim costs against Blomfield Trust. This effectively requires Blomfield Trust to withdraw from the proceeding.
4. Mr Spring, who along with Cameron Slater, Mr Gary Whimp, and your good selves, has made much of the liquidation of Vengeance Limited, is apparently not a creditor of Vengeance Limited (in Liquidation) (see appended letter copied to you from Anthony Pullan dated Monday 11 June 2012 3:46 PM to Matthew Blomfield Cc: 'Garry Whimp', 'Kirstin Wakelin' re Marc Spring).

As part of its consideration of factors relevant to Matt's application for consent to work with Bell Jr Limited, your client seeks information about the relationship between Bell Jr and Blomfield Trust and Rebecca Blatchford. We do not appreciate why your client instructed you to be aggressive towards Blomfield Trust at the High Court. Bell Jr Limited and Blomfield Trust have no real obligation to provide the information about their relationship as Matt is no longer financially involved.

However, in the interests of transparency and if it will expedite approval and address the matters raised in my

letter to you of 19 June 2012 herein, we are happy to provide you by return today details of this relationship, provided your client relents on its tactic to claim costs against and effectively remove Blomfield Trust as a party to High Court proceedings CIV-2012-404-2904.

I can attest that, as a direct consequence of the 23 May 2012 withdrawal of consent by your client, Bell Jr continues to suffer loss of credibility and business, particularly in the marketing area where Matt has developed close and respected business relationships. I believe it is fair and necessary for Bell Jr to notify you and your client that, unless we can obtain approval or some positive indication from your client today regarding Matt's application for consent herein, we are at serious risk of losing our biggest client, a "top 50" listed Company. I hope I need not also re-iterate the seriousness of any further delay for the Blomfield family.

Can you please treat this matter with utmost urgency?

Yours faithfully

Graham Hare

Bell Jr Limited

The information contained in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the message to us.

Ph: [+64 9 336 7500](tel:+6493367500)

Fax: [+64 9 336 7629](tel:+6493367629)

The information contained in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the message to us.

Ph: [+64 9 336 7500](tel:+6493367500)

Fax: [+64 9 336 7629](tel:+6493367629)

The information contained in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the message to us.

Ph: [+64 9 336 7500](tel:+6493367500)

Fax: [+64 9 336 7629](tel:+6493367629)