

IN THE MATTER

of an **EX PARTE** application for a **PROTECTION ORDER** pursuant to Sections 3, 5, 14, 17, 19, 20, 21, and 27, of the Domestic Violence Act 1995.

BETWEEN

KARL ROE of [confidential address due to concern for safety]

Applicant

AND

OLIVIA BAKER of 17 Huntington Drive, Greenhithe, Auckland, Director

Respondent

AND

CRAIG BAKER of 17 Huntington Drive, Greenhithe, Auckland, Director

Associated Respondent

AND

MATTHEW JOHN BLOMFIELD of unknown residential address, Lawyer[?], and Gang Associated Middleman

Associated Respondent

APPLICANTS AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF HIS NOTICE OF URGENT EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR A PROTECTION ORDER AGAINST OLIVIA BAKER, CRAIG BAKER, AND MATTHEW JOHN BLOMFIELD PURSUANT TO:- Sections 3, 5, 14, 17, 19, 20, 21, and 27, of the Domestic Violence Act 1995.

Dated 3 November 2016

Karl Roe
[confidential address due to concern for safety]
karl.andrew.roe@gmail.com
022 609 0069

Counsel for respondent and associated respondents
Mr Gloyn
PO BOX 317038
Birkenhead
Auckland

I Karl Andrew Roe swear;

1. I am Karl Roe, window tint technician of West Auckland.
2. I annex as the document marked "A" the documentation filed by the respondent and the associated respondents in the District Court Northshore Auckland seeking a harassment order against me in relation to allegations of stalking, dishonest entry of computers etc relating to Mrs Olivia Baker. There is an affidavit from Mrs Baker as well.
3. I do not wish to repeat what I have said in those proceedings, and so as the documents marked "B" and "C" I annex the notice of defence and applications that I seek in those proceedings, and my affidavit in response and in support of my applications and defence, respectively.
4. I allege that the application filed in the District Court is purposively in want of jurisdiction because the respondent and the associated respondents wanted the respondent to deny the domestic relationship, in order that they could have an order that they could use publicly in order to destroy my reputation, which reputation is such that when they fired me based on false allegations, the business came with me.
5. I did not pursue a complaint of unlawful dismissal because I was intimidated by the respondents use of the likes of Mr Blomfield, who is a man of a considerable reputation involving his connection to gangs such as the head hunters. I believe that I was also subject to manipulation by the respondent in continuing our relationship after my dismissal, and seeking that I come around to the family home to have sex, and to travel to Quenstown etc.
6. The respondent broke off the relationship for a couple of months and wanted me to have other girlfriends, then she wanted me back, and when she found out about the women that I had been seeing as friends she went beserk, and threatened me with all sorts of stuff. It was then that I decided to end the relationship and move on.
7. However I became aware of the lies that were being told about me by the respondent and the associated respondents, and reacted by putting the truth out to the same people that had been spoken to by the respondents. This is when the application was made out of the blue, and I believe that I was followed and photographed in order that they could make false allegations on top of false allegations. I believe that the respondent did not believe that I would defend the application. I believe that she thought this because of the intimidation of the associated respondents, but especially Mr Blomfield, who I believe is unhinged and a real present danger to my safety.
8. I believe that an order for 6 months against the respondent would be sufficient for matters to calm down, but that the associated respondents are dangerous men who want to silence me, and destroy my reputation through false allegations against me.

9. I am deeply saddened by the actions of the respondent given the love that we onced shared but I have moved on, and are now targeted by a woman scorned and her husband and his boyfriend who are beside themselves given what they believe that I hold against them. For the record I never intended to do anything, and was only provoked into defending myself when they sought to destroy my reputation in an area that is very important to me socially and financially.

10. I feel strange as a man seeking such orders but after contemplation of what the respondent and the associated respondents have attempted to do to me, I have had no choice. I know that the server of the harassmt documents at my work was there to intimidate me from defending the proceedings given his statements that the associated respondent Matthew Blomfield had informed the server that effectively Matthew Blomfield had arranged for the Head Hunters gang to find me and to deal with me.

11. I am scared to the extent that I honestly believe that I may be physically attacked by associates of Mr Blomfield, and as a result of the attack killed. Mr Blomfield has been following me and I believe that he took the photographs that are annexed to the respondents affidavit filed in the harassmt proceedings, and was the person that ordered an unknown person to make it obvious that they were videoing me. I am not a wuss but have become overwhelmed with what the respondent, and the associated respondent have done in order to destroy my will to stick to the truth in defence of their lies.

12. I do my part by leaving any area that the respondent or the associated respondents are frequenting because I am in fear of them. This fear affects my sleeping, and my personality, and interaction with others, and what times I can safely do my grocery shopping etc, as they use my local supermarket and shopping centre in Hobsonville. I need to be left alone.

SWORN at Auckland]
 This 3rd day of November 2016]
 Before me:] _____

 Registrar of the Family Court